



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Lori Stiglitz, Superintendent
 0015 Adams Central Community Schools
ECC: Nikki Sprunger, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0015)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brent Lehman, Superintendent
 0025 North Adams Community Schools
ECC: Nikki Sprunger, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0025)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Scott Litwiller, Superintendent
 0035 South Adams Schools
ECC: Nikki Sprunger, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0035)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Steven Yager, Superintendent
 0125 M S D Southwest Allen County
ECC: Roxanne May, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0125)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Christopher Himsel, Superintendent
 0225 Northwest Allen County Schools
ECC: Jada Conner, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0225)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Wendy Robinson, Superintendent
 0235 Fort Wayne Community Schools
ECC: Ann Barnes-Smith, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$10 \div (5 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0235)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						10
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
10		5 (10)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kenneth Folks, Superintendent
 0255 East Allen County Schools
ECC: Connie Brown, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0255)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. John Quick, Superintendent
 0365 Bartholomew Consolidated School Corp
ECC: Dr George Vanhorn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0365)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kathy Griffey, Superintendent
 0370 Flat Rock-Hawcreek School Corp
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0370)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Gregg Hoover, Superintendent
 0395 Benton Community School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0395)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Scott Croner, Superintendent
 0515 Blackford County Schools
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0515)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					64	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Judi Hendrix, Superintendent
 0615 Western Boone County Community School Dist
ECC: Tracey Shriver, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0615)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Scott Robison, Superintendent
 0630 Zionsville Community Schools
ECC: Cathy Fuelling, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0630)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Robert Taylor, Superintendent
 0665 Lebanon Community School Corp
ECC: Tracey Shriver, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0665)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					50	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. David Shaffer, Superintendent
 0670 Brown County School Corporation
ECC: Dr George Vanhorn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0670)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					75	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Chris Lagoni, Superintendent
 0750 Carroll Consolidated School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0750)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ralph Walker, Superintendent
 0755 Delphi Community School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0755)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. David Bess, Superintendent
 0775 Pioneer Regional School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0775)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Trudie Hedrick, Superintendent
 0815 Southeastern School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0815)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Michele Starkey, Superintendent
 0875 Logansport Community School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0875)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Monty Schneider, Superintendent
 0940 West Clark Community Schools
ECC: Ann Schnepf, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (0940)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kimberly Knott, Superintendent
 1000 Clarksville Community School Corp
ECC: Ann Schnepf, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1000)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Andrew Melin, Superintendent
 1010 Greater Clark County Schools
ECC: Ann Schnepf, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1010)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Kim Tucker, Superintendent
 1125 Clay Community Schools
ECC: Dr. Douglas Lunn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1125)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					75	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jeff Studebaker, Superintendent
 1150 Clinton Central School Corp
ECC: Tracey Shriver, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1150)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Chris Sampson, Superintendent
 1160 Clinton Prairie School Corp
ECC: Tracey Shriver, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1160)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Don DeWeese, Superintendent
 1170 Community Schools Of Frankfort
ECC: Eric Farley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1170)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					75	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. James Hanna, Superintendent
 1180 Rossville Consolidated School District
ECC: Tracey Shriver, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1180)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Elmer Shelby, Superintendent
 1300 Crawford County Community School Corp
ECC: Melissa Lancaster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1300)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Travis Madison, Superintendent
 1315 Barr-Reeve Community Schools Inc
ECC: Mary Rodimel, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1315)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Bell, Superintendent
 1375 North Daviess Community Schools
ECC: Mary Rodimel, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1375)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Dan Roach, Superintendent
 1405 Washington Community Schools
ECC: Mary Rodimel, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1405)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					80	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Williams-Interim, Superintendent
 1560 Sunman-Dearborn Community School Corp
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1560)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. John Mehrle, Superintendent
 1600 South Dearborn Community School Corp
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1600)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Karl Galey, Superintendent
 1620 Lawrenceburg Community School Corp
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1620)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Johnny Budd, Superintendent
 1655 Decatur County Community Schools
ECC: Dr George Vanhorn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1655)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tom Hunter, Superintendent
 1730 Greensburg Community Schools
ECC: Dr George Vanhorn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1730)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jeffrey F. Stephens, Superintendent
 1805 Dekalb County Eastern Community School Dist
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1805)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Dennis Stockdale, Superintendent
 1820 Garrett-Keyser-Butler Community
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1820)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Sherry Grate, Superintendent
 1835 Dekalb County Ctl United School Dist
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1835)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Steven Hall, Superintendent
 1875 Delaware Community School Corp
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1875)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Mike Bush, Superintendent
 1885 Wes-Del Community Schools
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1885)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Bryan Rausch, Superintendent
 1895 Liberty-Perry Community School Corp
ECC: Lisa Waber, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1895)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Dennis Chambers, Superintendent
 1900 Cowan Community School Corp
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁸⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁸⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁸⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁸⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1900)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2		0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant		94-75%				
LEA is substantially not compliant		≤74%				
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant		94-75%				
LEA is substantially not compliant		≤74%				
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant		94-75%				
LEA is substantially not compliant		≤74%				
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jennifer McCormick, Superintendent
 1910 Yorktown Community Schools
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁸⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁸⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1910)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Paul Garrison, Superintendent
 1940 Daleville Community Schools
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1940)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tim Heller, Superintendent
 1970 Muncie Community Schools
ECC: Janet McShurley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (1970)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Bill Hochgesang, Superintendent
 2040 Northeast Dubois County School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2040)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Richard Allen, Superintendent
 2100 Southeast Dubois County School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2100)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Mike Eineman, Superintendent
 2110 Southwest Dubois County School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁰⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁰⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2110)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Tracy Lorey, Superintendent
 2120 Greater Jasper Consolidated Schs
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁰¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁰² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁰¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁰² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2120)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Steve Thalheimer, Superintendent
 2155 Fairfield Community Schools
ECC: Beverly Krabill, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁰³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁰⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁰³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁰⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2155)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jim Dubois, Superintendent
 2260 Baugo Community Schools
ECC: Beverly Krabill, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁰⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁰⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁰⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁰⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2260)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Wayne Stubbs, Superintendent
 2270 Concord Community Schools
ECC: Beverly Krabill, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁰⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁰⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁰⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁰⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2270)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Jane Allen, Superintendent
 2275 Middlebury Community Schools
ECC: Beverly Krabill, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁰⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁰⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2275)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					80	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Joe Sabo, Superintendent
 2285 Wa-Nee Community Schools
ECC: Beverly Krabill, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2285)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Rob Haworth, Superintendent
 2305 Elkhart Community Schools
ECC: Mary Jo Sartorius, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (5 \times 2) = 60 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2305)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					30	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		5 (10)		60 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Diane Woodworth, Superintendent
 2315 Goshen Community Schools
ECC: Beverly Krabill, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2315)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Russell Hodges, Superintendent
 2395 Fayette County School Corp
ECC: Jan Dunham, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2395)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					80	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Bruce Hibbard, Superintendent
 2400 New Albany-Floyd County Consolidated School
ECC: Michele Ferree, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2400)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Derek Marshall, Superintendent
 2435 Attica Consolidated School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2435)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Kirk Booe, Superintendent
 2440 Covington Community School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2440)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Douglas Allison, Superintendent
 2455 Southeast Fountain School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$10 \div (5 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2455)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						10
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
10		5 (10)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Debbie Howell, Superintendent
 2475 Franklin County Community School Corp
ECC: Liza Bates, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2475)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Jana Vance, Superintendent
 2645 Rochester Community School Corp
ECC: Loretta Deardorff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2645)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Cindy Douglass, Interim, Superintendent
 2650 Caston School Corporation
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2650)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Henry Brewster, Superintendent
 2725 East Gibson School Corporation
ECC: Lisa Brewer, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2725)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Brian Harmon, Superintendent
 2735 North Gibson School Corp
ECC: Lisa Brewer, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2735)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Stacey Humbaugh, Superintendent
 2765 South Gibson School Corp
ECC: Lisa Brewer, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2765)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brett Garrett, Superintendent
 2815 Eastbrook Community School Corp
ECC: Lynn Gosser, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2815)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Trout, Superintendent
 2825 Madison-Grant United School Corp
ECC: Lynn Gosser, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2825)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Michael Powell, Superintendent
 2855 Mississinewa Community School Corp
ECC: Lynn Gosser, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2855)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brad Lindsay, Superintendent
 2865 Marion Community Schools
ECC: Lynn Gosser, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$5 \div (4 \times 2) = 62.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2865)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					90	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						5
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
5		4 (8)		62.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Daniel Sighting, Superintendent
 2920 Bloomfield School District
ECC: Judy Flowers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2920)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ted Baechtold, Superintendent
 2940 Eastern Greene Schools
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2940)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Nicholas Karaszia, Superintendent
 2950 Linton-Stockton School Corp
ECC: Judy Flowers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2950)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Michael Mogan, Superintendent
 2960 M S D Shakamak Schools
ECC: Judy Flowers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2960)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Hacker, Superintendent
 2980 White River Valley School Dist
ECC: Judy Flowers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (2980)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. William Carnes, Superintendent
 3005 Hamilton Southeastern Schools
ECC: Tom Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3005)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					96	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr Derek Arrowood, Superintendent
 3025 Hamilton Heights School Corp
ECC: Dr Steve Wornhoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3025)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Mark Keen, Superintendent
 3030 Westfield-Washington Schools
ECC: Dr Steve Wornhoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3030)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					99	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr Dave Mundy, Superintendent
 3055 Sheridan Community Schools
ECC: Dr Steve Wornhoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3055)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Nicholas Wahl, Superintendent
 3060 Carmel Clay Schools
ECC: Jay Arthur, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3060)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Beth Niedermeyer, Superintendent
 3070 Noblesville Schools
ECC: Dr Steve Wornhoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3070)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					99	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Lisa Lantrip, Superintendent
 3115 Southern Hancock County Community School Corp
ECC: Karen Niemeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3115)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Linda Gellert, Superintendent
 3125 Greenfield-Central Community Schools
ECC: Karen Niemeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3125)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. William Riggs, Superintendent
 3135 Mt Vernon Community School Corp
ECC: Karen Niemeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3135)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					99	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Randy Harris, Superintendent
 3145 Eastern Hancock County Community School Corp
ECC: Karen Niemeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3145)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Steve Morris, Superintendent
 3160 Lanesville Community School Corp
ECC: Bruce Kulwicki, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3160)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Thomas, Superintendent
 3180 North Harrison Community School Corp
ECC: Bruce Kulwicki, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3180)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					99	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Mark Eastridge, Superintendent
 3190 South Harrison Community Schools
ECC: Bruce Kulwicki, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3190)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Richard King, Superintendent
 3295 North West Hendricks Schools
ECC: Tracey Shriver, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3295)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jim Snapp, Superintendent
 3305 Brownsburg Community School Corp
ECC: Dr. Scott Wyndam, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3305)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					71	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Margaret Hoernemann, Superintendent
 3315 Avon Community School Corp
ECC: Peggy Murphy, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁸⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁸⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁸⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁸⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3315)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					86	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tom Johnson (Interim Supt.), Superintendent
 3325 Danville Community School Corp
ECC: Dr. Glenda Pate, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁸⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁸⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3325)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr Scott Olinger, Superintendent
 3330 Plainfield Community School Corp
ECC: Laura DelVecchio, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
¹⁹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3330)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jim Diagostino, Superintendent
 3335 Mill Creek Community School Corp
ECC: Nancy Holsapple, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3335)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Stephen Welsh, Superintendent
 3405 Blue River Valley Schools
ECC: Angie Vickery, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3405)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Wesley Hammond, Superintendent
 3415 South Henry School Corp
ECC: Amy Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ¹⁹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

¹⁹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3415)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ron Green, Superintendent
 3435 Shenandoah School Corporation
ECC: Amy Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ¹⁹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁰⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

¹⁹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²⁰⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3435)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Stephen Fisher, Superintendent
 3445 New Castle Community School Corp
ECC: Amy Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁰¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁰² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁰¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²⁰² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3445)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jed Behny, Superintendent
 3455 C A Beard Memorial School Corp
ECC: Amy Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁰³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁰⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁰³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁰⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3455)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Chris Smith, Superintendent
 3460 Taylor Community School Corp
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁰⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁰⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁰⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁰⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3460)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ryan Snoddy, Superintendent
 3470 Northwestern School Corp
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁰⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁰⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁰⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁰⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3470)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Tracy Caddell, Superintendent
 3480 Eastern Howard School Corp
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁰⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁰⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3480)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Randy McCracken, Superintendent
 3490 Western School Corp
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3490)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jeff Hauswald, Superintendent
 3500 Kokomo-Center Township Consolidated School Corp
ECC: Dr. Michael Sargent, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3500)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					97	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Tracey Shafer, Superintendent
 3625 Huntington County Community School Corp
ECC: Chris Campbell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3625)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Roger Bane, Superintendent
 3640 Medora Community School Corp
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3640)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Hooker, Superintendent
 3675 Seymour Community Schools
ECC: Mika Ahlbrand, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3675)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jim Terrell, Superintendent
 3695 Brownstown Cnt Community School Corp
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3695)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Terry Goodin, Superintendent
 3710 Crothersville Community Schools
ECC: Susan Jacobs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3710)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Iran Floyd, Superintendent
 3785 Kankakee Valley School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3785)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					50	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ned Speicher, Superintendent
 3815 Rensselaer Central School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3815)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Timothy Long, Superintendent
 3945 Jay School Corp
ECC: Annie Van Horn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3945)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Ginger Studebaker-Bolinger, Superintendent
 3995 Madison Consolidated Schools
ECC: Angela Vaughn, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (3995)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Stephen Telfer, Superintendent
 4000 Southwestern-Jefferson County Consolidated
ECC: Susan Jacobs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4000)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Terry Sargent, Superintendent
 4015 Jennings County Schools
ECC: Roger Williams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$10 \div (5 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4015)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						10
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
10		5 (10)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Patrick Spray, Superintendent
 4145 Clark-Pleasant Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4145)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					97	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Rich Arkanoff, Superintendent
 4205 Center Grove Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4205)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. William Glentzer, Superintendent
 4215 Edinburgh Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4215)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. David Clendening, Superintendent
 4225 Franklin Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4225)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kent DeKoninck, Superintendent
 4245 Greenwood Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4245)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					95	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Timothy O. Edsell, Superintendent
 4255 Nineveh-Hensley-Jackson United
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4255)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Darrel Bobe, Superintendent
 4315 North Knox School Corp
ECC: Sandra Lange, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4315)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Timothy A. Grove, Superintendent
 4325 South Knox School Corp
ECC: Sandra Lange, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4325)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Greg Parsley, Superintendent
 4335 Vincennes Community School Corp
ECC: Sandra Lange, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4335)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Thomas Edington, Superintendent
 4345 Wawasee Community School Corp
ECC: Wendy Hite, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4345)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. David Hoffert, Superintendent
 4415 Warsaw Community Schools
ECC: Amy Hobbs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4415)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brett Boggs, Superintendent
 4445 Tippecanoe Valley School Corp
ECC: Megan Wilks, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4445)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Steven Clason, Superintendent
 4455 Whitko Community School Corp
ECC: Heather Walenga, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4455)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Alan Middleton, Superintendent
 4515 Prairie Heights Community School Corp
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4515)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Randall Zimmerly, Superintendent
 4525 Westview School Corporation
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4525)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Risa Herber, Superintendent
 4535 Lakeland School Corporation
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4535)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tom Taylor, Superintendent
 4580 Hanover Community School Corp
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4580)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. James Rice, Superintendent
 4590 River Forest Community School Corp
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4590)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Mark Sperling, Superintendent
 4600 Merrillville Community School
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (5 \times 2) = 60 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4600)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		5 (10)		60 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Lawrence Veracco, Superintendent
 4615 Lake Central School Corp
ECC: Rebecca Gromala, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4615)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					80	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Debra Howe, Superintendent
 4645 Tri-Creek School Corp
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4645)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Sharon Shirley, Superintendent
 4650 Lake Ridge Schools
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4650)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					75	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Teresa Eineman, Superintendent
 4660 Crown Point Community School Corp
ECC: Cynthia Dewes, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
²⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4660)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					63	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Mary Dywan, Interim, Superintendent
 4670 School City Of East Chicago
ECC: Tamara pol, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4670)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					38	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tom Cripliver, Superintendent
 4680 Lake Station Community Schools
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4680)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Peter Morikis, Superintendent
 4700 Griffith Public Schools
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁸⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁸⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁸⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁸⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4700)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Walter Watkins, Superintendent
 4710 School City Of Hammond
ECC: Connie Manous, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁸⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁸⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4710)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brian Smith, Superintendent
 4720 School Town Of Highland
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4720)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Peggy Buffington, Superintendent
 4730 School City Of Hobart
ECC: Jane Winkoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4730)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jeffrey Hendrix, Superintendent
 4740 School Town Of Munster
ECC: Rebecca Gromala, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4740)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					80	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Sandra Martinez, Superintendent
 4760 Whiting School City
ECC: Beth Caruana, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ²⁹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

²⁹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4760)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jim Dermody, Superintendent
 4805 New Prairie United School Corp
ECC: Paula Nichols, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ²⁹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁰⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

²⁹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁰⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4805)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Curtiss Strietelmeier, Superintendent
 4860 M S D Of New Durham Township
ECC: Paula Nichols, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁰¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁰² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁰¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁰² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4860)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tim Somers, Superintendent
 4915 Tri-Township Consolidated
ECC: Paula Nichols, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁰³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁰⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁰³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁰⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4915)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Barbara Eason-Watkins, Superintendent
 4925 Michigan City Area Schools
ECC: Mike Livovich, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁰⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁰⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁰⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁰⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4925)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Linda Wiltfong, Superintendent
 4940 South Central Community School Corp
ECC: Paula Nichols, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁰⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁰⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁰⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁰⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4940)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					25	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mark Francesconi, Superintendent
 4945 Laporte Community School Corp
ECC: Paula Nichols, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁰⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁰⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (4945)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Gary Conner, Superintendent
 5075 North Lawrence Community Schools
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5075)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Steve Phillips, Superintendent
 5085 Mitchell Community Schools
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5085)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Fields, Superintendent
 5245 Frankton-Lapel Community Schs
ECC: Dr Steve Wornhoff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5245)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Joseph A Buck, Superintendent
 5255 South Madison Community School Corp
ECC: Karen Niemeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5255)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Alice Johnson, Superintendent
 5265 Alexandria Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Vickery, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5265)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Terry Thompson, Superintendent
 5275 Anderson Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Vickery, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$4 \div (3 \times 2) = 66.7 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5275)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					30	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						4
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
4		3 (6)		66.7 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Timothy Smith, Superintendent
 5280 Elwood Community School Corp
ECC: Angie Vickery, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5280)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Matt Prusiecki, Superintendent
 5300 M S D Decatur Township
ECC: Lillian Youngblood, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5300)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Flora Reichanadter, Superintendent
 5310 Franklin Township Community School Corp
ECC: Lillian Youngblood, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5310)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					97	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Shawn Smith, Superintendent
 5330 M S D Lawrence Township
ECC: Bill Dreibelbis, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5330)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Thomas Little, Superintendent
 5340 M S D Perry Township
ECC: Lillian Youngblood, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5340)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					96	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Nathaniel Jones, Superintendent
 5350 M S D Pike Township
ECC: Megan Ahlers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5350)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Dena Cushenberry, Superintendent
 5360 M S D Warren Township
ECC: Allison Woods, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5360)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Nikki Woodson, Superintendent
 5370 M S D Washington Township
ECC: Dr Karol Farrell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5370)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jeffery Butts, Superintendent
 5375 M S D Wayne Township
ECC: Tara Rinehart, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5375)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					60	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Paul Kaiser, Superintendent
 5380 Beech Grove City Schools
ECC: Lillian Youngblood, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5380)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Kenneth Hull, Superintendent
 5400 School Town Of Speedway
ECC: Kathryn Richards, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5400)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Vicki McGuire, Superintendent
 5455 Culver Community Schools Corp
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5455)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					96	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Stanley R. Mikel, Superintendent
 5470 Argos Community Schools
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5470)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jim White, Superintendent
 5480 Bremen Public Schools
ECC: Lori Hundt, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5480)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Daniel Tyree, Superintendent
 5485 Plymouth Community School Corp
ECC: Michele Riise, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5485)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Donna Burroughs, Superintendent
 5495 Triton School Corporation
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5495)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Candace Roush, Superintendent
 5520 Shoals Community School Corp
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5520)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Laura Mattingly, Superintendent
 5525 Loogootee Community School Corp
ECC: Mary Rodimel, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5525)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Douglas Arnold, Superintendent
 5615 Maconaquah School Corp
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5615)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Cathy Egolf, Superintendent
 5620 North Miami Community Schools
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5620)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Joel Martin, Superintendent
 5625 Oak Hill United School Corp
ECC: Lynn Gosser, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5625)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Sam Watkins, Superintendent
 5635 Peru Community Schools
ECC: Ann Higgins, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5635)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Michael Wilcox, Superintendent
 5705 Richland-Bean Blossom Community School Corp
ECC: Laura Durig, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$9 \div (5 \times 2) = 90 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5705)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					94	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						9
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
9		5 (10)		90 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Judith DeMuth, Superintendent
 5740 Monroe County Community School Corp
ECC: Dr Kathleen Hugo, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5740)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					96	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Colleen Moran, Superintendent
 5835 North Montgomery Community School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5835)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Shawn Greiner, Superintendent
 5845 South Montgomery Community School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5845)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kathleen Steele, Superintendent
 5855 Crawfordsville Community Schools
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5855)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					50	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Willian Roberson, Superintendent
 5900 Monroe-Gregg School District
ECC: Mary Mann, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5900)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Terry Terhune, Superintendent
 5910 Eminence Community School Corp
ECC: Nancy Holsapple, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5910)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Ron Furniss, Superintendent
 5925 M S D Martinsville Schools
ECC: Don Adkins, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5925)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. David Marcotte, Superintendent
 5930 Mooresville Consolidated School Corp
ECC: Susie Hurt, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5930)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Destin Haas, Superintendent
 5945 North Newton School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5945)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Kenneth Rudnick, Superintendent
 5995 South Newton School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁸⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁸⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁸⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁸⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5995)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Chris Daughtry, Superintendent
 6055 Central Noble Community School Corp
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁸⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁸⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6055)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Ann Linson, Superintendent
 6060 East Noble School Corp
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6060)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					88	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Dennis VanDuyne, Superintendent
 6065 West Noble School Corporation
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6065)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brandon Roeder, Superintendent
 6080 Rising Sun-Ohio County Community
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

³⁹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6080)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Gary McClintic, Superintendent
 6145 Orleans Community Schools
ECC: Dr. Emily Dykstra, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ³⁹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
³⁹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6145)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Casey Brewster, Superintendent
 6155 Paoli Community School Corp
ECC: Melissa Lancaster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ³⁹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁰⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

³⁹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁴⁰⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6155)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tony Whitaker, Superintendent
 6160 Springs Valley Community School Corp
ECC: Melissa Lancaster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁰¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁰² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁰¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁰² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6160)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					75	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Greg Linton, Superintendent
 6195 Spencer-Owen Community Schools
ECC: Laura Durig, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁰³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁰⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁰³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁰⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6195)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					97	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Leonard Orr, Superintendent
 6260 Southwest Parke Community School Corp
ECC: Jeffery Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁰⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁰⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁰⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁰⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6260)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Mary Roberson, Superintendent
 6325 Perry Central Community Schools Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁰⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁰⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁰⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁰⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6325)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Alva Sibbitt, Superintendent
 6340 Cannelton City Schools
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁰⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁰⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6340)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Scioldo, Superintendent
 6350 Tell City-Troy Township School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6350)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Thomas Rohr, Superintendent
 6375 North Parke Community School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6375)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Thomas Rohr, Superintendent
 6375 North Parke Community School Corp
ECC: Jeffery Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6375)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Suzanne Blake, Superintendent
 6445 Pike County School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6445)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Nathan Kleefisch, Superintendent
 6460 M S D Boone Township
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6460)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. David Pruis, Superintendent
 6470 Duneland School Corporation
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6470)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Rod Gardin, Superintendent
 6510 East Porter County School Corp
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6510)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Stacey Schmidt, Superintendent
 6520 Porter Township School Corp
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6520)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Hunter, Superintendent
 6530 Union Township School Corp
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6530)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Richard Weigel, Superintendent
 6550 Portage Township Schools
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6550)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Ric Frataccia, Superintendent
 6560 Valparaiso Community Schools
ECC: Diane Massa, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$10 \div (5 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6560)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						10
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
10		5 (10)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Thomas Kopatich, Superintendent
 6590 M S D Mount Vernon
ECC: Jackie Maier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6590)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					87	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Todd Camp, Superintendent
 6600 M S D North Posey County Schools
ECC: Jackie Maier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6600)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					83	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Dan Foster, Superintendent
 6620 Eastern Pulaski Community School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6620)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Don Street, Superintendent
 6630 West Central School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6630)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Bruce Bernhardt, Superintendent
 6705 South Putnam Community Schools
ECC: Nancy Holsapple, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁴⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6705)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Dan Noel, Superintendent
 6715 North Putnam Community Schools
ECC: Nancy Holsapple, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6715)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Carrie Milner, Superintendent
 6750 Cloverdale Community Schools
ECC: Nancy Holsapple, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6750)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Dawn Puckett, Superintendent
 6755 Greencastle Community School Corp
ECC: Kim Sullivan, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6755)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Fred Herron, Superintendent
 6795 Union School Corporation
ECC: Amy Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6795)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Donnie Bowsman, Superintendent
 6805 Randolph Southern School Corp
ECC: Lisa Waber, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6805)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Reece Mann, Superintendent
 6820 Monroe Central School Corp
ECC: Lisa Waber, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6820)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					98	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Gregory Hinshaw, Superintendent
 6825 Randolph Central School Corp
ECC: Lisa Waber, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6825)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Lisa Smith, Superintendent
 6835 Randolph Eastern School Corp
ECC: Lisa Waber, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6835)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Moorhead, Superintendent
 6865 South Ripley Community School Corp
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6865)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. James Roberts, Superintendent
 6895 Batesville Community School Corp
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6895)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Bill Narwold, Superintendent
 6900 Jac-Cen-Del Community School Corp
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6900)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Paul Ketcham, Superintendent
 6910 Milan Community Schools
ECC: Cheryl Corning, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6910)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Matt Vance, Superintendent
 6995 Rush County Schools
ECC: Kim Nicholson, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (6995)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Richard Reese, Superintendent
 7150 John Glenn School Corporation
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7150)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Jerry Thacker, Superintendent
 7175 Penn-Harris-Madison School Corp
ECC: Pam Von Rahl, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7175)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Terry Barker, Superintendent
 7200 School City Of Mishawaka
ECC: Pam Von Rahl, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7200)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Carole Schmidt, Superintendent
 7205 South Bend Community School Corp
ECC: Donna Krol, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$4 \div (3 \times 2) = 66.6 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7205)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					60	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						4
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
4		3 (6)		66.6 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Mitchell D. Mawhorter, Superintendent
 7215 Union-North United School Corp
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7215)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Anderson, Superintendent
 7230 Scott County School District 1
ECC: Susan Jacobs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7230)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Marc Slaton, Superintendent
 7255 Scott County School District 2
ECC: Susan Jacobs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7255)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Chris Scott, Treasurer, Superintendent
 7285 Shelby Eastern Schools
ECC: Michelle Neff, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7285)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Shane Robbins, Superintendent
 7350 Northwestern Consolidated School Corp
ECC: Karen Niemeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7350)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Paula Maurer, Superintendent
 7360 Southwestern Consolidated School Shelby County
ECC: Angie Balsley, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁸⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁸⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁸⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁴⁸⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7360)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. David Adams, Superintendent
 7365 Shelbyville Central Schools
ECC: Kathy West, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁸⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁸⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7365)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Daniel Scherry, Superintendent
 7385 North Spencer County School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7385)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Candis Haskell, Superintendent
 7445 South Spencer County School Corp
ECC: Pam Bell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7445)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Greg Briles, Superintendent
 7495 Oregon-Davis School Corp
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7495)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Lynn Johnson, Superintendent
 7515 North Judson-San Pierre School Corp
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁴⁹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁴⁹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7515)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. A J Gappa, Superintendent
 7525 Knox Community School Corp
ECC: Linda Holland, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁴⁹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁰⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁴⁹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁰⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7525)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Loraine K. Vaughn, Superintendent
 7605 Fremont Community Schools
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁰¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁰² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁰¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁰² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7605)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jon Willman, Superintendent
 7610 Hamilton Community Schools
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁰³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁰⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁰³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁰⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7610)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Brent Wilson, Superintendent
 7615 M S D Steuben County
ECC: Mary Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁰⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁰⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁰⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁰⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7615)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Mark Baker, Superintendent
 7645 Northeast School Corp
ECC: Judy Flowers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁰⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁰⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁰⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁰⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7645)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Chris Stitzle, Superintendent
 7715 Southwest School Corp
ECC: Judy Flowers, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁰⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁰⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7715)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Mike Jones, Superintendent
 7775 Switzerland County School Corp
ECC: Susan Jacobs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7775)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Les Huddle, Superintendent
 7855 Lafayette School Corporation
ECC: Toni Skaggs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7855)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	See Uncorrected Non Compliance	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Scott Hanback, Superintendent
 7865 Tippecanoe School Corp
ECC: Toni Skaggs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7865)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Rocky Killion, Superintendent
 7875 West Lafayette Community School Corp
ECC: Toni Skaggs, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7875)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tim Garland, Superintendent
 7935 Tri-Central Community Schools
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7935)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Kevin Emsweller, Superintendent
 7945 Tipton Community School Corp
ECC: Suzie Reagle, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7945)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Zach Rozelle, Superintendent
 7950 Union Co/Clg Corner Joint School Dist
ECC: Liza Bates, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7950)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. David Smith, Superintendent
 7995 Evansville-Vanderburgh School Corp
ECC: Mary Schweizer, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (7995)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Michael Turner, Superintendent
 8010 North Vermillion Community School Corp
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8010)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. David Chapman, Superintendent
 8020 South Vermillion Community School Corp
ECC: Jeffery Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8020)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Daniel Tanoos, Superintendent
 8030 Vigo County School Corp
ECC: Jeffery Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8030)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Bill Reichhart, Superintendent
 8045 Manchester Community Schools
ECC: Ann Higgins, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8045)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Sandra Weaver, Superintendent
 8050 M S D Wabash County Schools
ECC: Ann Higgins, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8050)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jason Callahan, Superintendent
 8060 Wabash City Schools
ECC: Ann Higgins, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8060)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ralph Shrader, Superintendent
 8115 M S D Warren County
ECC: Jill Coffing, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8115)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Brad Schneider, Superintendent
 8130 Warrick County School Corp
ECC: Tad Powless, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8130)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					96	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. D Lynn Reed, Superintendent
 8205 Salem Community Schools
ECC: Melissa Lancaster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8205)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Steve Darnell, Superintendent
 8215 East Washington School Corp
ECC: Melissa Lancaster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8215)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Gerald Jackson, Superintendent
 8220 West Washington School Corp
ECC: Melissa Lancaster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8220)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Bill Doering, Superintendent
 8305 Nettle Creek School Corp
ECC: Amy Blake, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8305)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Robert Mahon, Superintendent
 8355 Western Wayne Schools
ECC: Liza Bates, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8355)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Philip Stevenson, Superintendent
 8360 Centerville-Abington Community Schs
ECC: Jan Dunham, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8360)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Laura Blessing, Superintendent
 8375 Northeastern Wayne Schools
ECC: Liza Bates, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8375)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Allen Bourff, Superintendent
 8385 Richmond Community Schools
ECC: Barb Bergdoll, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8385)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					95	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James Craig, Superintendent
 8425 Southern Wells Community Schools
ECC: Nikki Sprunger, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8425)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Scott Mills, Superintendent
 8435 Northern Wells Community Schools
ECC: Nikki Sprunger, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8435)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Wayne Barker, Superintendent
 8445 M S D Bluffton-Harrison
ECC: Nikki Sprunger, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8445)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Nicholas Eccles, Superintendent
 8515 North White School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8515)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Cathy Rowe, Superintendent
 8525 Frontier School Corporation
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance**.

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8525)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kathy Goad, Superintendent
 8535 Tri-County School Corp
ECC: Patricia Kem, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8535)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Thomas Fletcher, Superintendent
 8565 Twin Lakes School Corp
ECC: Thomas Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8565)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Galen Mast, Superintendent
 8625 Smith-Green Community Schools
ECC: , Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8625)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Patricia O'Connor, Superintendent
 8665 Whitley County Cons Schools
ECC: Susan Harmeyer, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (8665)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Tara Stachowiak, Superintendent
 9310 Charter School Of The Dunes
ECC: Roger Schwager, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9310)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Jean Hitchcock, Superintendent
 9315 Signature School Inc
ECC: Suzanne Dodd, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9315)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Barbara Burke-Fondren, Superintendent
 9320 Community Montessori Inc
ECC: Barbara Burke-Fondren, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9320)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Mike Gustin, Superintendent
 9325 Options Charter School - Carmel
ECC: Michelle Olsen, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9325)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. David Nidiffer, Superintendent
 9330 Irvington Community School
ECC: Jana Goebel, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9330)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Leitha Stone, Superintendent
 9340 New Community School
ECC: Leitha Stone, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁸⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁸⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁸⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁸⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9340)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Steve Bollier, Superintendent
 9350 Timothy L Johnson Academy
ECC: Lindsey Kohlmeier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁸⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁸⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9350)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Germaine Smith, Superintendent
 9360 Veritas Academy
ECC: Germaine Smith, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁵⁹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9360)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Charlie Schlegel, Superintendent
 9370 Fall Creek Academy
ECC: Hanno Becker, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9370)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Carey Dahncke, Superintendent
 9380 Christel House Academy
ECC: Carey Dahncke, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9380)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Emily Pelino, Superintendent
 9400 KIPP Indianapolis College Preparatory
ECC: Demetrice Burton, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁵⁹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁵⁹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9400)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					33	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Marcus Robinson, Superintendent
 9445 Charles A Tindley Accelerated School
ECC: Nakia Douglas, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁵⁹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁰⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁵⁹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁰⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9445)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					88	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Gwendolyn Adell, Superintendent
 9460 Thea Bowman Leadership Academy
ECC: Clara Thigpen, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁰¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁰² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁰¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁰² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9460)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					95	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Susie Pierce, Superintendent
 9465 Rural Community Schools Inc
ECC: Susie Pierce, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁰³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁰⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁰³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁰⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9465)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Charlie Schlegel, Superintendent
 9480 Fountain Square Academy/University Heights Prep
ECC: Renessa A. Bailey, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁰⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁰⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁰⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁰⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9480)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kristie Sweeney, Superintendent
 9485 SE Neighborhood School Of Excellence
ECC: Susan Sherrow, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁰⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁰⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$7 \div (4 \times 2) = 87.5 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁰⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁰⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9485)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					93	1
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						7
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
7		4 (8)		87.5 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Pamela Decker, Superintendent
 9495 Joshua Academy
ECC: Jaymi Johnston, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁰⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶¹⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁰⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶¹⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9495)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jeremy Williams, Superintendent
 9535 Gary Lighthouse Charter School
ECC: Gwen Alford, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶¹¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶¹² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶¹¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶¹² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9535)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Kevin Teasley, Superintendent
 9545 21st Century Charter School Of Gary
ECC: Pegie Frazier, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶¹³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶¹⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$10 \div (5 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶¹³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶¹⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9545)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						10
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
10		5 (10)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Charlotte Jackson, Superintendent
 9555 East Chicago Urban Enterprise Academy
ECC: Charlotte Jackson, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶¹⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶¹⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶¹⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶¹⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9555)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ryan Gall, Superintendent
 9575 Indianapolis Lighthouse Charter School
ECC: Travis Campbell, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶¹⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶¹⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶¹⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶¹⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9575)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Jamila Newman, Superintendent
 9595 East Chicago Lighthouse Charter
ECC: Gwen Alford, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶¹⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶²⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶¹⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶²⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9595)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. James Hill, Superintendent
 9615 Andrew J Brown Academy
ECC: Autumn Dawdy, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶²¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶²² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶²¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶²² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9615)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Julie Wood, Superintendent
 9620 Burriss Laboratory School
ECC: David Chastain, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶²³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶²⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶²³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶²⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9620)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Julie Wood, Superintendent
 9625 The Indiana Academy for Science, Mathematics, and Humanities
ECC: David Chastain, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶²⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶²⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶²⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶²⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9625)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Mike Gustin, Superintendent
 9640 Options Charter School - Noblesville
ECC: Michelle Olsen, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶²⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶²⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶²⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁶²⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9640)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Kelly Herron, Superintendent
 9645 Challenge Foundation Academy
ECC: Kelly Herron, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶²⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶³⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶²⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶³⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9645)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Janet McNeal, Superintendent
 9650 Herron High School
ECC: Lisa Elliott, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶³¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶³² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶³¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶³² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9650)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs.Linda Gagyi, Superintendent
 9655 Hope Academy
ECC: Lynna Rooks, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶³³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶³⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$8 \div (4 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶³³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶³⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9655)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						8
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
8		4 (8)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Susan Fries, Superintendent
 9665 Geist Montessori Academy
ECC: Susan Fries, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶³⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶³⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶³⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶³⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9665)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Scott Bess, Superintendent
 9670 Indianapolis Metropolitan High School
ECC: Greg McClure, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶³⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶³⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶³⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶³⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9670)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tommy Reddicks, Superintendent
 9680 Paramount School of Excellence Inc
ECC: Mr. Tommy Reddicks, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶³⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁴⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶³⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁴⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9680)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Rasheeda Green, Superintendent
 9685 Aspire Charter Academy
ECC: Autumn Dawdy, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁴¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁴² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁴¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁴² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9685)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Kieran McHugh, Superintendent
 9690 Renaissance Academy Charter School
ECC: Lori Gayheart, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁴³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁴⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁴³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁴⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9690)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Sean Egan, Superintendent
 9705 Hammond Academy
ECC: Dr Sean Egan, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁴⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁴⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁴⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁴⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9705)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State's FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Carlotta Coopriders, Superintendent
 9715 Andrew Academy
ECC: Michelle Thompson, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁴⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁴⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁴⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁴⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9715)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Cynthia Greer, Superintendent
 9720 Padua Academy
ECC: Michelle Thompson, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁴⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁵⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁴⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁵⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9720)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Aytekin, Superintendent
 9785 Indiana Math And Science Academy
ECC: Mustafa Yazici, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁵¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁵² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁵¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁵² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9785)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Robert Guillaume, Superintendent
 9790 Anderson Preparatory Academy
ECC: Robert Guillaume, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁵³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁵⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁵³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁵⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9790)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mrs. Janice Adams, Superintendent
 9795 Dr. Robert H Faulkner Academy Marion
ECC: Janice Adams, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁵⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁵⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁵⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁵⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9795)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Byron Ernest, Superintendent
 9805 Hoosier Academy - Indianapolis
ECC: Patsy Woods, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁵⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁵⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁵⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁵⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9805)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Debra Lukens, Superintendent
 9830 Beacon Academy
ECC: Debra Lukens, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁵⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁶⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁵⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁶⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9830)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Catherine Diersing, Superintendent
 9835 The Bloomington Project School
ECC: Kathryn Eads, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁶¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁶² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$10 \div (5 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁶¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁶² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9835)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					100	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						2
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						10
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
10		5 (10)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Tania Grimes, Superintendent
 9845 Xavier School of Excellence
ECC: Tania Grimes, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁶³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁶⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁶³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁶⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9845)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Keith Marsh, Superintendent
 9850 Imagine Life Sciences Acad - West
ECC: Diane Lawson, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁶⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁶⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁶⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁶⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9850)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Byron Ernest, Superintendent
 9865 Hoosier Academy Virtual School
ECC: Patsy Woods, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁶⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁶⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁶⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁶⁶⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9865)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Tom Aubin, Superintendent
 9870 Discovery Charter School
ECC: Ernesto Martinez, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁶⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁷⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁶⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁷⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9870)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Sara Hauselman, Superintendent
 9875 Rock Creek Community Academy
ECC: Patty Fenner, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁷¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁷² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁷¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁷² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9875)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Yolanda Turner-Smith, Superintendent
 9880 South Bend Career Academy
ECC: Bart Foster, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁷³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁷⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁷³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁷⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9880)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					0	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Scott Taylor, Superintendent
 9890 Indiana Virtual School
ECC: Scott Taylor, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁷⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁷⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁷⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁷⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9890)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. John Aytekin, Superintendent
 9895 Indiana Math Science Academy North
ECC: Murat Atlihan, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁷⁷
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁷⁸ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁷⁷ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁷⁸ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9895)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Ms. Melissa Brown, Superintendent
 9905 IN Connections Acad Virtual School
ECC: Christie Kessler, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁷⁹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁸⁰ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁷⁹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁸⁰ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9905)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Scott Bess, Superintendent
 9910 Excel Center for Adult Learners
ECC: Greg McClure, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA’s score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁸¹
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁸² . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (4 \times 2) = 75 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Assistance.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put “Local Determination” in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁸¹ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁶⁸² Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9910)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					67	0
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		4 (8)		75 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Jim Dalton, Superintendent
 9920 Damar Charter Academy
ECC: Aimee Brown, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 24, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁸³
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁸⁴ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$6 \div (3 \times 2) = 100 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Meets Requirements.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁸³ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.

⁶⁸⁴ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (9920)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					N/A	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						6
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
6		3 (6)		100 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Lewis Ferebee, Superintendent
 5385 Indianapolis Public Schools
ECC: Mary Lowe, Special Education Planning District Director
FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education
SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)
DATE: October 28, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

The chart below details the score range for each determination as well your LEA score.

Determination	Score Range	Determination	Score Range
Meets Requirements	≥80% - 100%	Needs Intervention	≤59%
Needs Assistance	60% - 79%	Needs Substantial Intervention	TBD ⁶⁸⁵
The score is calculated by the total points assigned for each applicable indicator divided by the total applicable indicators multiplied by 2 ⁶⁸⁶ . The calculation for your LEA is:			
$4 \div (4 \times 2) = 50 \%$			

Based on the overall score, the Department ranks your LEA as: **Needs Intervention.**

The details of the determination for your LEA may be found on the enclosed attachment. Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. Because you have made such strides in the last two school years, including only one finding made in December 2013, **no further action** is needed as the result of this determination. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

Thank you for your efforts to ensure compliance and improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

⁶⁸⁵ The DOE did not make a determination of Needs Substantial Intervention for any LEA in 2014.
⁶⁸⁶ Two is the maximum number of points awarded for an individual indicator.

SCORING MATRIX (5385)

Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA Score	
		2	1	0		
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Suspensions and Expulsions for Students with IEPs						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	2	
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation – Specific Race/Ethnicity/Disability						
LEA is compliant (Y/N)	0%	Yes		No	0	
Indicator	Target	KEY: Compliance Indicator Score			LEA %tage	LEA Score
		2	1	0		
Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations					100%	2
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 12: Timely Transition from First Steps					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition IEP					not in rotation	N/A
LEA had no findings, is compliant	100%	100%				
LEA had findings, is substantially compliant		≥95%-99%				
LEA is not compliant			94-75%			
LEA is substantially not compliant				≤74%		
Non-Compliance					SCORE KEY	LEA Score
Uncorrected Noncompliance						N/A
Original finding(s) not corrected in a year, but now corrected					2	
LEA original findings not corrected in a year and still not corrected					0	
Long Standing Noncompliance						N/A
The LEA has no remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010 or earlier; and, no special conditions on its FFY2013 Part B grant award					2	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY2010, FFY2009, and/or FFY2008, for which the LEA has not yet demonstrated correction; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the State’s FFY2013 Part B grant award that are still in effect.					1	
The LEA has remaining findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 or earlier; and/or the State has imposed special conditions on the LEAs last three (FFYs 2011, 2012, and 2013) Part B grant award and are in effect at the time of the 10/22/2014 determination.					0	
LEA Total Compliance Score						4
Total LEA Points Assigned		Total Applicable Indicators(x2)		LEA DETERMINATION SCORE		
4		4 (8)		50 %		



MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Cheryl Pruitt, Superintendent
4940 Gary Community School Corporation

ECC: Marianne Fidishin, Special Education Planning District Director

FROM: Becky Bowman, Director of Special Education

SUBJECT: Local Determinations for FFY 2012 (SY 12-13)

DATE: October 27, 2014

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires the Department of Education, Office of Special Education (DOE) to determine if each local educational agency (LEA) meets the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. Based on an LEA's score on a number of criteria, the LEA is ranked in one of four categories of determination: meets requirements, needs assistance, needs intervention, and needs substantial intervention.

Gary Community School Corporation's FFY 2011 determination resulted in special conditions being imposed on Gary's eligibility for Part B funds. The school corporation made some limited progress toward the special conditions, but special conditions continued to be imposed in FFY 2012. As a result, Gary Community School Corporation is ranked as "Needs Intervention" for FFY 2012.

Please note that, in accordance with federal monitoring cycles, these determinations are made in arrears and this determination is for the 2012-13 school year. If you have any questions about the contents of this memo, please send an email to SpEd_Monitoring@doe.in.gov. Please put "Local Determination" in the subject line of the email.

As a result of this determination, Gary Community Schools must continue to work with DOE and the ISU technical assistance team to ensure that federal requirements are being met and that students with disabilities are getting necessary services and supports.