

IDOE Reviewer(s): _____

Date: _____

Alternative Education Program Name: _____

Contact Person: _____

School Corporation: _____

Alternative Education On-site Monitoring Rubric
Document Analysis

Alternative Education Programs will be required to share documentation for each component before or during the site visit. Programs will be given an Unsatisfactory or Satisfactory for each component. Programs receiving an 'Unsatisfactory' for any component will be required to submit documentation that the issue has been addressed within 10 business days in order to remain an approved program.

Component	Documentation Needed	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Comments
Corporation Support	BOTH of the following: <input type="checkbox"/> Board Minutes <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Service or Interlocal Agreement (only required if joint program) ONE of the following: <input type="checkbox"/> School Improvement Plan (SIP) with reference to Alternative program <input type="checkbox"/> Administrator or Board Representation on Advisory Group <input type="checkbox"/> Written statements of support by administrators (letters/emails/staff meeting minutes)	<input type="checkbox"/> No minutes <input type="checkbox"/> No joint Service or Interlocal Agreement if joint program <input type="checkbox"/> No documentation of support by principal, administrators or board	<input type="checkbox"/> Minutes indicate support <input type="checkbox"/> Agreement meets code requirements <input type="checkbox"/> Documentation of support by individuals able to allocate school resources	

Component	Documentation Needed	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Comments
Community Support	Two of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Letters of support from community leaders or agencies <input type="checkbox"/> Program brochure listing partners <input type="checkbox"/> Advisory Group membership list, agenda, or minutes <input type="checkbox"/> Other documentation of community collaboration (newspaper article, agreement letter, etc) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No letters of support <input type="checkbox"/> No documentation of community support or involvement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Positive publicity <input type="checkbox"/> Documentation of community support or involvement 	
Program Awareness	One of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Program brochure or handbook <input type="checkbox"/> Newspaper article <input type="checkbox"/> Flier, fact sheet, newsletter or PowerPoint presentation <input type="checkbox"/> Program website <input type="checkbox"/> Parent meeting agenda 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No item to indicate parents or the community have been informed of the program 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Items document an attempt to inform parents and/or the community about the options available to support student success 	
Individual Focus	Three of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Completed Individual Service Plans 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No ISP or incomplete 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> ISP's have all required items and are completed appropriately 	

Component	Documentation Needed	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Comments
Alternative Education Components	Two of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Individual student learning contract, senior project, project-based lesson plans, multidisciplinary course description, computer courseware descriptions, etc. <input type="checkbox"/> Mastery learning guidelines or procedures <input type="checkbox"/> Assessment instruments <input type="checkbox"/> Service learning projects <input type="checkbox"/> Life skills curriculum <input type="checkbox"/> Behavior plan <input type="checkbox"/> Mentoring documents or sign-in sheets <input type="checkbox"/> Counselor log, agency referrals or home visit records <input type="checkbox"/> List of agencies used for support and referral services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Instructional strategies same as traditional school (no evidence of alternative instructional strategies) <input type="checkbox"/> Options and approaches are the same as the traditional school <input type="checkbox"/> No or inadequate system for student support services or referral to community services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of student choice or experiential instructional strategies <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of non-traditional options <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of strategies to increase engagement <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence that assess individual skills (NWEA, TABE, etc) <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence support and referral services available 	
Learning Environment	One of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Professional development plan for staff <input type="checkbox"/> Staff meetings agenda <input type="checkbox"/> SIP for alt ed program <input type="checkbox"/> Youth voice documentation (student council or family meeting agendas, student surveys, advisor/advisee topics, etc) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No plan for staff development <input type="checkbox"/> No student survey or negative response <input type="checkbox"/> No way for staff/students to discuss issues <input type="checkbox"/> No opportunity for student input 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Professional development plan <input type="checkbox"/> Regular staff meetings <input type="checkbox"/> SIP for alt ed program <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of opportunity for student input 	

Compliance Components

The following information is rated "Compliance" (C) or "Non-Compliance" (NC). Selected documentation must be provided as part of the site visit monitoring. The alternative education contact person listed for each program will be contacted at least 1 week prior to the site visit about documents, policies, or descriptions that will be required for the compliance components. Documentation can be submitted prior to or at the visit. Failure to provide documentation will result in removal from the approved program list.

Compliance Issues	Documentation	Comments
Student Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Entrance/Exit Criteria and process <input type="checkbox"/> DOE-AL Spreadsheet maintained	C NC
Staff Qualifications	<input type="checkbox"/> License for teachers or HOUSSE documentation	C NC
Health and Safety	<input type="checkbox"/> Fire Marshall/Dept. of Health documentation (if location is not part of the school corporation)	C NC
Financial	<input type="checkbox"/> Statement from financial officer that corporation is meeting the required 1/3 match and that alternative education funds are deposited in Fund 190 Receipt Acct. 3211	C NC
Time/Year	<input type="checkbox"/> Daily Schedule <input type="checkbox"/> School Calendar (only if different from the corporation)	C NC

On-Site Monitoring Observation Components

During the site visit, IDOE personnel will visit classrooms to observe lessons being provided. IDOE reviewers will be looking to see that actual instruction and programming matches descriptions provided in the grant application; that students are engaged and spending an appropriate amount of time on task; that instruction is clear and understandable; and that instructors seem knowledgeable about lesson content and alternative strategies. Each program will receive a score of 1-4 points for each component. Programs receiving a score of 1 (Below Standard) or 2 (Approaching Standard) on any component will be required to address deficiencies prior to a second site visit that will occur within 90 days or the program may be removed from the approved program list.

Teacher Observed: _____

Teacher/Student Ratio at Observation: _____

(Teacher = 1) (Aide = .33)

Component	1 Below Standard	2 Approaching Standard	3 Meeting Standard	4 Exceeding Standard	Reviewer Comments
Programming matches description in grant application					
Instruction is clear and incorporates multiple strategies					
Students appear engaged					
Location supports a positive learning environment					