

Guide to Text Complexity

What is Text Complexity?

Every text has a level of complexity or readability that affects the way the reader interacts with it. Novels, articles, poems, short stories, textbooks, etc. have wide ranges of complexity that are appropriate at different grade levels and for a variety of purposes. An important focus of Indiana's Academic Standards is making sure students are being exposed to texts with increasing complexity in all subject areas as they move toward being college and career ready. While determining the appropriate placement of a text in accordance with the reading standards for text complexity (Learning Outcome Standards RL.1 and RN.1), it is important to evaluate quantitative measures, qualitative measures, and reader and task considerations.

Quantitative Measurements of Complexity

Quantitative dimensions and factors are those aspects of a text (e.g., word length and frequency, and sentence syntax) that are not easily measurable by a human and are typically measured by a program. While these tools are helpful, they should NOT be the only measures for determining text complexity. Poetry, plays, and texts below a second grade reading level cannot typically be measured by quantitative means.

Multiple measures are recommended when possible.

Qualitative Measurements of Complexity

Qualitative dimensions and factors are those aspects of text complexity (e.g., levels of meaning and structure) only measureable by an attentive reader.

The **Qualitative Considerations for Literature** and **Qualitative Considerations for Nonfiction** worksheets can assist in making finer distinctions about where a text should fall in the grade-level span. There are three categories: accessible, moderately complex, and highly complex. An accessible text should be accessible for students to be able to interact with independently at the low end of the grade-level span. A moderately complex text may require scaffolding for students at the low-end of the grade-level span, but should be accessible with no scaffolding once they reach the middle or high-end of the grade-level span. A very complex text will require scaffolding for students until they reach the high-end of the grade-level span, at which point they should be able to interact with the text independently.

Reader and Task Considerations

Reader and task considerations can be individual to schools, classrooms, and students. This includes taking factors like cognitive capabilities, reading skills, and motivation into consideration.

Suggested Considerations for Reader and Task

Cognitive Capabilities

Do readers at this grade level possess the necessary...

- attention to read and comprehend this text?
- ability to remember and make connections among the various details presented in this text?
- visualization skills to imagine what is occurring or what is being discussed in the text?

Also consider if this text will help to develop the attention, memory, and critical/analytical thinking skills necessary for future reading endeavors.

Reading Skills

Do readers at this grade level possess the necessary. . .

- inferencing skills to make connections among elements that may not be explicit in this text?
- visualization skills to imagine what is occurring or what is being described in this text?
- questioning skills to challenge the ideas being presented in this text and consider multiple points of view?
- comprehension strategies to manage this text?

Also consider if this text will help to develop the inferencing skills, visualization skills, questioning skills, and comprehension strategies necessary for future reading endeavors.

Motivation and Engagement with Task and Text

Will the readers at this grade level be able to. . .

- understand the purpose of reading this text- which might shift over the course of the reading experience?
- be engaged with the topic of this text?
- be engaged with the style of writing and the presentation of ideas within this text?

Also consider if this text might help readers develop an interest in the content.

Prior Knowledge and Experience

Do the readers at this grade level possess adequate prior knowledge and/or experience regarding the. . .

- topic of this text to manage the material that is presented?
- vocabulary used within this text to manage the material that is presented?
- genre of this text to manage the material that is presented?
- language (i.e. syntax, diction, rhetoric) of this text to manage the material that is presented?

Also consider if this text might help readers develop knowledge of topic, vocabulary, genre, or language.

Content and/or Theme Concerns

Do the readers at this grade level possess the. . .

- maturity to respond appropriately to any potentially concerning elements of content or theme?

Also consider if there are any potentially concerning elements of content or theme that might contribute to students, teachers, administrators, and/or parents feeling uncomfortable with the reading of the text.

Complexity of Associated Tasks

Will the complexity of. . .

- any tasks associated with this text interfere with the reading experience?
- any questions asked or discussed concerning this text interfere with the reading experience?

This guide was developed using the Reader and Task Consideration document created by the Kansas Department of Education.

<http://communities.ohiorc.org/ela-supervisors/files/2012/05/KansasReaderconsiderations.pdf>

Qualitative Features of Text Complexity Explained for Nonfiction

| Accessible Features | Complex Features |
|---|---|
| Background Knowledge | |
| How much background knowledge is necessary to understand the text? <i>(Consider content-specific knowledge and references/allusions to other works)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Everyday knowledge and familiarity with genre conventions required | <input type="radio"/> Extensive, perhaps specialized discipline-specific content knowledge required |
| <input type="radio"/> Low intertextuality (few if any references to/citations of other texts) | <input type="radio"/> High intertextuality (many references to/citations of other texts) |
| Purpose | |
| How clear are the purpose, point of view, and central idea of the text? <i>(Consider if they are explicitly stated or implicit)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Explicitly stated purpose | <input type="radio"/> Implicit purpose, may be hidden or obscure |
| Text Structure | |
| How is the text structured? <i>(Consider if it is a familiar structure [e.g., chronological] or a more complex structure that may be unfamiliar to students at this level)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Simple | <input type="radio"/> Complex |
| <input type="radio"/> Explicit | <input type="radio"/> Implicit |
| <input type="radio"/> Conventional | <input type="radio"/> Unconventional |
| <input type="radio"/> Traits of a common genre or subgenre | <input type="radio"/> Traits specific to a particular discipline |
| Language Features (continued on next page) | |
| What are the language features of the text? <i>(Consider if the language used is mostly literal or figurative, clear or abstract, familiar/contemporary or archaic/jargon)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Literal | <input type="radio"/> Figurative or ironic |
| <input type="radio"/> Clear | <input type="radio"/> Ambiguous or purposefully misleading |
| <input type="radio"/> Contemporary, familiar | <input type="radio"/> Archaic or otherwise unfamiliar |
| <input type="radio"/> Conversational | <input type="radio"/> General academic or domain specific vocabulary |
| <input type="radio"/> Light vocabulary load, few unfamiliar or academic words ¹ | <input type="radio"/> Many words unfamiliar and high academic vocabulary present |
| <input type="radio"/> Sentence structure straightforward ² | <input type="radio"/> Complex and varied sentence structures |
| Illustrations/graphics | |
| How are illustrations/graphics (if included) used? <i>(Consider if the illustrations/graphics add clarification or add to the complexity)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Simple graphics | <input type="radio"/> Sophisticated graphics |
| <input type="radio"/> Graphics unnecessary or merely supplemental to understanding | <input type="radio"/> Graphics essential to understanding the text and may provide information not elsewhere provided |

¹ While vocabulary can be measured by quantifiable means, it is still a feature for careful consideration when selecting texts. A helpful tool for evaluating academic vocabulary is located here: <http://achievethecore.org/academic-word-finder/>

² While sentence length is measured by quantifiable means, sentence complexity is still a features for careful consideration when selecting texts.

Quantitative Measures

| Grade Band | ATOS | Degrees of Power® | Flesch-Kincaid | The Lexile Framework® | Reading Maturity | Text Evaluator |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 2 nd -3 rd | 2.75-5.14 | 42-54 | 1.98-5.34 | 420-820 | 3.53-6.13 | 100-590 |
| 4 th -5 th | 4.97-7.03 | 52-60 | 4.51-7.73 | 740-1010 | 5.42-7.92 | 405-720 |
| 6 th -8 th | 7.00-9.98 | 57-67 | 6.51-10.34 | 925-1185 | 7.04-9.57 | 550-940 |
| 9 th -10 th | 9.67-12.01 | 62-72 | 8.32-12.12 | 1050-1335 | 8.41-10.81 | 750-1125 |
| 11 th -CCR | 11.20-14.10 | 67-74 | 10.34-14.2 | 1185-1385 | 9.57-12.00 | 890-1360 |

For grade _____, holistically, this text should be considered:

_____ ACCESSIBLE

_____ MODERATELY COMPLEX

_____ HIGHLY COMPLEX

Adapted from Achieve the Core, *Qualitative Features of Text Complexity Explained*:

http://achievethecore.org/content/upload/Companion_to_Qualitative_Scale_Features_Explained.pdf

http://achievethecore.org/content/upload/CCSS_Grade_Bands_and_Quantitative_Measures%20updated%202015.pdf

Qualitative Features of Text Complexity Explained for Literature

| Accessible Features | Complex Features |
|---|---|
| Background Knowledge | |
| How much background knowledge is necessary to understand the text? <i>(Consider cultural familiarity and literary knowledge necessary to understand allusions to other works)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Common everyday experiences or clearly fantastical situations | <input type="radio"/> Experiences distinctly different from one's own |
| <input type="radio"/> Everyday knowledge and familiarity with genre conventions required | <input type="radio"/> Cultural and literary knowledge useful |
| <input type="radio"/> Low intertextuality (few if any references/allusions to other texts) | <input type="radio"/> High intertextuality (many references/allusions to other texts) |
| Levels of Meaning | |
| Does the text have a single level of meaning or are there multiple/hidden levels of meaning? <i>(Consider the clarity of the theme(s))</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Simple theme | <input type="radio"/> Complex or sophisticated theme(s) |
| <input type="radio"/> Single theme | <input type="radio"/> Multiple themes |
| <input type="radio"/> Single level of meaning | <input type="radio"/> Multiple levels of meaning |
| <input type="radio"/> Single Perspective | <input type="radio"/> Multiple perspectives |
| Text Structure | |
| How is the text structured? <i>(Consider if the structure is conventional or unconventional, if there is a single narrator or multiple narrators, if events are related in chronological order or if there are flashbacks and other manipulations of time)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Simple | <input type="radio"/> Complex |
| <input type="radio"/> Explicit | <input type="radio"/> Implicit |
| <input type="radio"/> Conventional | <input type="radio"/> Unconventional |
| <input type="radio"/> Events related in chronological order | <input type="radio"/> Events related out of chronological order |
| Language Features (continued on next page) | |
| What are the language features of the text? <i>(Consider if the language used is mostly literal or figurative, clear or abstract, familiar/contemporary or archaic/jargon)</i> | |
| <input type="radio"/> Literal | <input type="radio"/> Figurative or ironic |
| <input type="radio"/> Clear | <input type="radio"/> Ambiguous or purposefully misleading |
| <input type="radio"/> Contemporary, familiar | <input type="radio"/> Archaic or otherwise unfamiliar |
| <input type="radio"/> Conversational | <input type="radio"/> General academic or domain specific vocabulary |
| <input type="radio"/> Light vocabulary load, few unfamiliar or academic words ³ | <input type="radio"/> Many words unfamiliar and high academic vocabulary present |
| <input type="radio"/> Sentence structure straightforward ⁴ | <input type="radio"/> Complex and varied sentence structures |
| Illustrations/graphics | |
| How are illustrations/graphics (if included) used? <i>(Consider if they add clarification or add to the complexity)</i> | |

³ While vocabulary can be measured by quantifiable means, it is still a feature for careful consideration when selecting texts. A helpful tool for evaluating academic vocabulary is located here: <http://achievethecore.org/academic-word-finder/>

⁴ While sentence length is measured by quantifiable means, sentence complexity is still a features for careful consideration when selecting texts.

| | |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Simple graphics | <input type="radio"/> Sophisticated graphics |
| <input type="radio"/> Graphics unnecessary or merely supplemental to understanding | <input type="radio"/> Graphics essential to understanding the text and may provide information not elsewhere provided |

Quantitative Measures

| Grade Band | ATOS | Degrees of Power® | Flesch-Kincaid | The Lexile Framework® | Reading Maturity | Text Evaluator |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 2 nd -3 rd | 2.75-5.14 | 42-54 | 1.98-5.34 | 420-820 | 3.53-6.13 | 100-590 |
| 4 th -5 th | 4.97-7.03 | 52-60 | 4.51-7.73 | 740-1010 | 5.42-7.92 | 405-720 |
| 6 th -8 th | 7.00-9.98 | 57-67 | 6.51-10.34 | 925-1185 | 7.04-9.57 | 550-940 |
| 9 th -10 th | 9.67-12.01 | 62-72 | 8.32-12.12 | 1050-1335 | 8.41-10.81 | 750-1125 |
| 11 th -CCR | 11.20-14.10 | 67-74 | 10.34-14.2 | 1185-1385 | 9.57-12.00 | 890-1360 |

For grade _____, holistically, this text should be considered:

_____ ACCESSIBLE

_____ MODERATELY COMPLEX

_____ HIGHLY COMPLEX

Adapted from Achieve the Core, *Qualitative Features of Text Complexity Explained*:

http://achievethecore.org/content/upload/Companion_to_Qualitative_Scale_Features_Explained.pdf

http://achievethecore.org/content/upload/CCSS_Grade_Bands_and_Quantitative_Measures%20updated%202015.pdf

References

Perfetti, Charles A., Nicole Landi, and Jane Oakhill. "The Acquisition of Reading Comprehension Skill."

The Science of Reading: A Handbook (227-247). Oxford, England: Blackwell, 2005. PDF e-book.

"Questions for Professional Reflection on Reader and Task Considerations." Accessed November 5,

2012. <http://communities.ohiorc.org/elasupervisors/files/2012/05/KansasReaderconsiderations.pdf>.

"Ready or Not: Creating a High School Diploma That Counts." *American Diploma Project* (December 10,

2004). Achieve, Inc. Accessed April 25, 2014. <http://www.achieve.org/ReadyorNot>.

Shanahan, Timothy, Douglas Fisher, and Nancy Frey. "The Challenge of Challenging Text." *Educational*

Leadership (March 2012): 58-62. Accessed April 25, 2014. www.ascd.org.